

Integrated Resources Plan

Final Environmental Impact Report

SCH No. 2004071091

SCAG No. I20040466



September 2006

**City of Los Angeles
Department of Public Works
Bureau of Sanitation
and
Department of Water and Power**



Integrated Resources Plan Final Environmental Impact Report

Prepared by

**City of Los Angeles
Department of Public Works
Bureau of Sanitation
and
Department of Water and Power**

September 2006

CH:CDM

Contents

Section	Page
Executive Summary.....	ES-1
ES1 Introduction.....	ES-1
ES2 Content of Final EIR and Relationship to Draft EIR.....	ES-1
ES3 Alternatives Evaluated	ES-2
ES4 Staff Recommended Alternative	ES-2
ES5 Certification of the EIR and Approval of the Staff Recommended Alternative.....	ES-6
ES6 Areas of Controversy	ES-11
ES7 Issues to be Resolved	ES-11
ES7.1 Selection of a Staff Recommended Alternative	ES-12
ES7.2 Construction Method for the VSLIS.....	ES-12
ES7.3 Groundwater Replenishment with Recycled Water.....	ES-12
ES8 Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts.....	ES-12
ES9 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	ES-13
ES10 Summary of Environmental Effects.....	ES-13
Section 1 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Format of Final EIR	1-1
1.2 Summary of Public Notices and Hearings.....	1-2
1.2.1 Public Notice.....	1-2
1.2.2 Public Hearings	1-2
1.3 Additional Coordination	1-3
1.4 Summary of Impacts	1-4
1.5 Recommended Alternative	1-4
1.5.1 Process and Criteria for Selection.....	1-4
1.5.2 Staff Recommended Alternative.....	1-10
Section 2 Modifications and Updates to the Draft EIR	2-1
2.1 Executive Summary	2-1
2.2 Section 1 – Introduction	2-7
2.3 Section 2 – Description of IRP Facilities Plan Components and EIR Alternatives	2-8
2.4 Section 3 – Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation.....	2-9
2.4.1 Section 3.1 Introduction	2-9
2.4.2 Section 3.2 – Aesthetics	2-14
2.4.3 Section 3.3 – Agriculture	2-21
2.4.4 Section 3.4 – Air Quality	2-21
2.4.5 Section 3.5 – Biological Resources	2-23
2.4.6 Section 3.6 – Coastal Resources.....	2-24
2.4.7 Section 3.7 – Cultural Resources	2-25
2.4.8 Section 3.8 – Environmental Justice.....	2-25
2.4.9 Section 3.9 – Geology and Soils.....	2-25
2.4.10 Section 3.10 – Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	2-26

Contents

2.4.11	Section 3.11 – Hydrology and Water Quality	2-26
2.4.12	Section 3.12 – Land Use	2-27
2.4.13	Section 3.13 – Noise and Vibration	2-28
2.4.14	Section 3.14 – Population and Housing.....	2-31
2.4.15	Section 3.15 – Public Services.....	2-31
2.4.16	Section 3.16 – Recreation	2-31
2.4.17	Section 3.17 – Transportation and Traffic	2-33
2.4.18	Section 3.18 – Utilities	2-66
2.5	Section 4 – Other Environmental Considerations	2-66
2.6	Section 5 – Persons and Organizations Contacted	2-67
2.7	Section 6 – Preparers.....	2-67
2.8	Appendixes	2-67
Section 3 Responses to Comments on the Draft EIR		3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Responses to Comments	3-1
	Agencies and Jurisdictions	
	Organizations	
	Individuals	
	Public Hearing and Other Comment Sheets	
	Public Hearing Transcripts	
	Forms and Petitions	

Appendices

D	Public Notices and Public Correspondence
E	City Council Correspondence
F	List of Public Commenters
G	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Tables

Table ES-1	Summary of Environmental Effects <i>Integrated Resources Plan EIR</i>	ES-14
------------	---	-------

Figures

Figure ES-1	Staff Recommended Alternative – Tillman Expansion	ES-3
Figure ES-2	Staff Recommended NEIS II Alignment	ES-7
Figure ES-3	Staff Recommended GBIS Alignment	ES-9
Figure 1-1	Staff Recommended NEIS II Alignment	1-15
Figure 1-2	GBIS Alignments	1-17
Figure 1-3	Staff Recommended GBIS Alignment	1-21
Revised Figure 3.4-9	Locations of Odor Complaints near NEIS II and GBIS	2-75
Revised Figure 3.4-10	Sensitive Receptor Locations near GBIS Accessory Structures....	2-77
Revised Figure 3.12-2	Existing and Adjacent Land Uses at Tillman	2-79
Revised Figure 3.16-5	Recreational Resources Near GBIS	2-81
Revised Figure 3.17-2	Potential Alignment of GBIS	2-83